

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/010,461	12/06/2001	Michael Mitsunaga	998046 PA2	7849
30781 75	590 12/31/2003		EXAMINER	
PHILIP K. YU			CAMPBELL	L, THOR S
20955 PATHFI SUITE 160	NDER ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	AR, CA 91765		3742	

DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

manus.			<
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/010,461	MITSUNAGA ET AL.6	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u>-</u>
	Thor S. Campbell	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a P riod for R ply	appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become a second	reply be timely filed irry (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication (ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Tr	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition.	wance except for formal ma er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	tters, prosecution as to the merits D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withded 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-23,25-39,41 and 42 is/are rejected to. 7) Claim(s) 24 and 40 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	lrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	nccepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyonection is required if the drawing.	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a I 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence of	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ist of the certified copies no estic priority under 35 U.S.C first sentence of the specific provisional application has estic priority under 35 U.S.C	Application No n received in this National Stage of received. S. § 119(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data Sl been received. S. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a speci-	neet. fic
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4, 6-20, 22-24, 26-28, 32-39 and 41-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Akahane (US 6539172).

Akahane discloses a cartridge, which is capable of being detachably attached to a fluid heating device including a heater for heating a fluid to be transfused, comprising: a zigzag-shaped fluid path, through which the fluid passes; and a contact section being capable of contacting the heater, said contact section being made flat and constructed of a material that is heat conducting and transparent, wherein a sectional shape of said zigzag-shaped fluid path, which is perpendicular to a flowing direction of the fluid, is formed into a polygonal shape with sides that are substantially equilateral.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 3742

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akahane.

Akahane a cartridge, which is capable of being detachably attached to a fluid heating device including a heater for heating a fluid to be transfused, comprising: a zigzag-shaped fluid path, through which the fluid passes; and a contact section being capable of contacting the heater, said contact section being made flat and constructed of a material that is heat conducting and transparent, wherein a sectional shape of said zigzag-shaped fluid path, which is perpendicular to a flowing direction of the fluid, is formed into a polygonal shape with sides that are substantially equilateral.

Akahane does not explicitly disclose a single membrane covering both sides of said rigid passageway. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. *Howard v. Detroit Stove Works*, 150 U.S. 164 (1893).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 25 and 40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3742

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/20/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's only argument is that Akahane does not qualify as prior art since applicant's claimed subject matter is "disclosed or inherently disclosed" in the parent application. The Examiner can find no support for this claim. Nowhere in the parent application is the rigid plate and the sheet of film disclosed. The incorporation of US 6336003 does not obviate the rejection.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thor S. Campbell whose telephone number is 703-306-9042. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri 5:30AM-4:00PM.

Art Unit: 3742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ed Look can be reached on 703-308-1044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0861.

TSC 12/22/03

THOR CAMPBELL
PATENT EXAMINER